OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

2 3 APR 1982



Office of Security

To: c/PAG/P+M SUBJECT DOID 1/19 · NOTE THAT A SPECIAL MEETING OF SECOM WILL BE HELD ON 19 MAY TO DISCUSS DeiD 1/19. / · I WOULD LIKE A FULL DISCUSSION OF THIS TOPIC WELL BEFORE THEN · PLEASE SET UP A MEETING THROUGH RELDA FOR US TO DISCUSS THE VARIOUS FOINTS ON CONTROVERSY 4ND THE FOSITION WE SAOULD TAKE ON EACH.

	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)			*	
Draft Revision	of DCID	1/19 -	Vote She	eet
FRO			EXTENSION	NO.
Chief, Policy Branch,	PPG/OS/	. d. [		DATE
4E-70 HQS	4,	<i>Vu</i> t		27 April 1982
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and	- V	ATE		
building)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
1.		1/ 2		
C/PPG		1/27/2		1 - 2, 3 & 4:
4		1702		1 2, 0 4
2. DD/PGM	A7 APR	-		Re NdA
	27 APR			The DDCI's effort to please everyone re the NdA had a
3. DD/Security				predictable effect. Instead
DD/ Security				of substituting Form 4193 for
4.				Form 4066, agencies are creating their own NDA's, which
D/Security				eventually may be found
5.		<del> </del>		unacceptable to other agencies. The failure or refusal of CIA
				to agree to retrofit encourages
6.				further fragmentation. CIA
J 0.				should choose a position with the greatest promise of even-
		<del> </del>		tual uniformity in the
7.				Community. Recommend we agree to retrofit on an
				"opportunity basis."
8.				
				Re SCI Accountability I agree with the concept of
9.				retention of receipts to
				support leak investigations,
10.				but do not consider it appro- priate guidance, as it leaves
1				too much to the discretion of
11.				too many. Recommend we retain retention for minimum of six
				months and add "or as long
12.		<b> </b>		as required to assure appro-
C/POLICY Branch/PPG				priate support to investiga- tions of unauthorized
13.		-		disclosure of the document's
13.				contents."
14.				
•	1			!

		ROUTING A	ND RECOR	D SHEET		
SUBJECT: (0	Optional)					
	DCID 1/19	Vote Sheet				
FROM:		T REWS	EXTENSION	SION NO.		
Ch	iet, Special S -11, Headquart		er	ATE 28 April 1982		
TO: (Officer building)	designation, room number, c		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from what to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment to whom.		
	/P६M -60 s	4 (28)	72	Per your request, my thought are enclosed.		
2.	20 CC	29 APR 5/3	1/82 In	my thoughty are inclosed.		
3.						
4.	3/05	3 MAY 1902 19 M	AY #	2-4- Iwaniel		
5				J-4- Demand parte for c/pp6 partion an retrofit. — On accountability of concile stay with your 5 Fell 82 partion In		
6		J		accountability I will		
7.				your 5 Fell 82 parties		
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.	A AND THE STREET STREET STREET STREET					
15.				-		

STAT

ORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

•	COULINA	JANU	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)				
DCID 1/1	9			
ROM:			EXTENSION	NO.
C/SSC				P <sup>ATE</sup> 18 March 1982
IO: (Officer designation, room number, and pullding)	DA	ATE .	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom
onung)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
1.				Page 8, line 17 of the
4E-70 Hqs.				draft DCID reads "All persons
2.				holding or being given SCI access shall sign an NdA."
				The only interpretation of
3.				this language is that it requires a "retrofit." I
				believe D/Sec should strongly
				object on the grounds that
<b>4</b> .				the DCI has <u>not</u> mandated a retrofit and, as the attached
				correspondence reflects, a
5.				retrofit would entail an
				enormous administrative burden with no discernible
6.				benefit.
7.				
8.				
<b>.</b>				
	<del> </del>			
9.				
0.				
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
		1		,

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS